



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,283	11/02/2001	Charles Clark	2001P19665US	1249	
7590 03/03/2004			EXAMINER		
Siemens Corporation Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator			KIM, RICHARD H		
Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
186 Wood Avenue South			2871		
Iselin, NJ 088	330		DATE MAILED: 03/03/200-	DATE MAILED: 03/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/053,283	CLARK ET AL.			
	omec Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Richard H Kim	2871			
Period fo		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
′=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-5,7-9 and 11-16 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 5,7 and 8 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-16 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the prioricy application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. "Vertically adjustable", "attachable" and "operable" are non-enabling. For example "attachable" does not necessarily indicated that something is "attached". Moreover, "characterization mode" is not a structural limitation. Features critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). It is also noted that the recitation that an element is "capable of" performing a function (i.e. adjustable, attachable and operable) is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchinson*, 69 USPQ 138.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2871

2. Claims 1, 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes (US 5,754,622) in view of Smith et al. (US 6,282,264 B1).

Referring to claim 1, Hughes discloses a portal imaging device positioning apparatus attachable to a radiation therapy device gantry, comprising a support attachable to the gantry (see Fig. 1, ref. 6); and a portal imaging device attachable to the support (see Fig. 1, ref. 90). However, the reference does not disclose that the device comprises a vertically-adjustable portal imaging device positioner operable in a first mode and a second mode, wherein in the first mode the portal imaging device positioner maintains an imaging panel in position to receive radiation passing through a body maintained in a patient plane, and wherein the second mode portal imaging device positioner maintains the image panel to receive radiation substantially at the patient plane in a characterization mode.

Smith et al. disclose a device comprising a vertically adjustable portal imaging device positioner attachable to a support (see Fig. 1, ref. 16, 10, 34) operable in a first mode and a second mode (see col.17, lines 52-55), wherein in the first mode the portal device positioner maintains an imaging panel in position to receive radiation passing through a body maintained in a patient plane (see Fig. 3, ref. 34), and wherein in the second mode portal imaging device positioner maintains the image panel to receive radiation substantially at the patient plane (see Fig. 4, ref. 34) in a characterization mode (col. 17, lines 52-55).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a vertically-adjustable portal imaging device positioner operable in a first mode and a second mode, wherein in the first mode the portal imaging

Art Unit: 2871

device positioner maintains an imaging panel in position to receive radiation passing through a body maintained in a patient plane, and wherein the second mode portal imaging device positioner maintains the image panel to receive radiation substantially at the patient plane since one would be motivated to improve the versatility of the device. According to Smith et al., such a modification provides a "safe, reliable, convenient and effective way to position such systems for a wide variety of imaging protocols..." (see col. 2, lines 50-55). Moreover, maintaining the image panel to receive radiation substantially at the patient plane in a characterization mode would enable one to "perform a low-dose preview image prior to the final full-radiation image", to insure the patient is positioned as desired (see col. 17, lines 52-55). Examiner interprets that the "characterization" mode can be applied to any desired position of the imaging device, including at the patient plane shown in Figure 4.

Referring to claim 2, Hughes and Smith et al. disclose the device previously recited. However, Hughes does not disclose the device including a vertical drive unit adjustably attachable at a mounting cavity to the support; and a mounting unit adjustably attachable to the vertical drive unit, and adapted to deploy the imaging panel from a vertical position to a horizontal position.

Smith et al. disclose a device including a vertical drive unit adjustably attachable at a mounting cavity to the support (see Fig. 1, ref. 17; col. 5, lines 26-41); and a mounting unit assembly adjustably attachable to the vertical drive unit (see Fig. 1, ref. 22, 30 and 32), and adapted to deploy the imaging panel from a vertical position to a horizontal position (see Fig. 1 and 3, ref. 30; col. 5, lines 48-64; col. 3, lines 6-30).

Art Unit: 2871

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a vertical drive unit adjustably attachable at a mounting cavity to the support; and a mounting unit adjustably attachable to the vertical drive unit, and adapted to deploy the imaging panel from a vertical position to a horizontal position since one would be motivated to improve the versatility of the device. According to Smith et al., such a modification would enable a variety of x-ray protocols, while necessitating the use of only one detector (see col. 2, lines 58-67).

Referring to claims 9, Smith et al. discloses a portal imaging system comprising a radiation delivery apparatus (see Fig. 1, ref. 46); and means for deploying an imaging panel in a first mode to receive radiation from the apparatus below a patient plane and in a second characterization mode at the patient plane (see col. 17, lines 52-55; Fig. 3, Fig. 4, ref. 34); the deploying means comprising a vertical drive unit assembly attachable at a mounting cavity to a support (see Fig. 1, ref. 17 and 20); and a mounting unit adjustably attachable to the vertical drive unit, and adapted to deploy the imaging panel from a vertical position to a horizontal position (see Fig. 1, 3, and 4, ref. 22, 30, and 32).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 5, 7 and 8 are allowed.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or disclose in light of the specifications a portal imaging device positioning method comprising adjusting an image panel operably secured to a radiation therapy device gantry from a first position in a first mode below a patient plane to a second position in a second

Art Unit: 2871

characterization mode at a patient plane; and a portal imaging device positioning method comprising adjusting the vertical drive unit in the first mode such that a top of the support is substantially adjacent to a top of a mounting cavity on the vertical drive unit; and adjusting the vertical drive unit in the second mode such that a bottom of the of the support is substantially adjacent to a bottom of the mounting cavity.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-9, and 11-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 20 January 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. In response to Applicant's argument that the references do not relate to a portal imaging device positioner [that] maintains said the imaging panel to receive radiation substantially at the patient plane in a characterization mode, Examiner submits that such a limitation is indicated in column 17, lines 52-55. Examiner interprets that the "characterization" mode can be applied to any desired position of the imaging device, including at the patient plane shown in Figure 4.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2871

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard H Kim whose telephone number is (571)272-2294. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H Kim can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard H Kim Examiner Art Unit: 2871

Art Unit 2871

RHK

TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY
PRIMARY EXAMINER